IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOHN ODI, :

INDIVIDUALLY AND AS THE PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF
LILY ODI, DECEASED

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 15-4903

GARY ALEXANDER, ET AL.

v.

ORDER

AND NOW, this ______7th___ day of _____March___, 2017, upon consideration of: (1)

Defendants' Gary Alexander and Beverly Mackereth's Motion to Dismiss First Amended

Complaint (ECF No. 5); (2) Defendant Linda Robson's Motion to Dismiss First Amended

Complaint (ECF No. 12); and (3) Defendant Jane Richards' Motion to Dismiss First Amended

Complaint (ECF No. 17), and all documents submitted in support thereof, and in opposition

thereto, it is ORDERED that the Motions to Dismiss are GRANTED in part, and DENIED in

part, as follows:

- Count I (claims under 42 U.S.C. § 2000d) and Count III (claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1981) are dismissed with prejudice.
- 2. All claims asserted in Count II (claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983) and Count IV (claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(8), and 42 C.F.R. § 435.930(b)) against Defendants in their official capacities are dismissed with prejudice.
- The substantive due process claims asserted in Count II are dismissed against all Defendants with prejudice.
- 4. The equal protection claims asserted in Count II (claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983) are dismissed without prejudice against all Defendants. Plaintiffs may amend

Count II within fourteen (14) days from the date of this Order, consistent with the

accompanying Memorandum.

5. The procedural due process claims asserted in Count II (claims under 42 U.S.C. §

1983) are dismissed without prejudice against Defendants Alexander, Mackereth,

and Robson. Plaintiffs may amend Count II within fourteen (14) days from the

date of this Order, consistent with the accompanying Memorandum.

6. Count IV (claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(8), and 42 C.F.R. § 435.930(b)) are

dismissed without prejudice against Defendants Alexander, Mackereth, and

Robson. Plaintiffs may amend Count IV without fourteen (14) days of the date of

this Order, consistent with the accompanying Memorandum.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

BY THE COURT:

(544)

R. BARCLAY SURRICK, J.